## Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on Statewide and Metropolitan Planning

Issue	Current Provisions	New TEA-21 Requirements	Intended Outcomes	Proposed Regulations (Excerpts)
State Consultation with Local Officials	Reasonable opportunity for public officials to comment on plan and STIP development [§450.212] Consultation with local officials on project selection in non-metropolitan areas [§450.104]	Section 1204 revises 23 U.S.C. 135(e)(2)(B) to require development of process in consultation with affected local officials for consultation on development of State plan.	Increased involvement of non-metropolitan local officials in the planning process will better reflect concerns of rural America in transportation decisions Increased opportunity for rural economic development	
Revenue Forecasts	Cooperative development of revenue estimates available for plan and TIP development [§450.322(b)(10)]	Section 1203 modifies 23 U.S.C.  134 (g)(3)(B) to direct that a cooperative process be estab- lished by the State and MPOs for estimating revenues available to support metropolitan plans.	MPOs have better financial information for planning and programming A more open and understandable process for revenue forecasting to facilitate full buy-in by all participants Greater clarity for financial information assumptions and options Flexibility for State DOT, MPO and transit operator(s) in cooperatively developing estimates Enhanced cooperation among State and local officials on planning for needed investments	§1410.322(b)(10) Transportation plan content. Directs that transportation plans include a financial plan that incorporates revenue forecasts. Essentially reinforces existing §450.322(b)(10) provisions, consistent with new TEA-21 language.
Consolidation of Planning Factors	§450.208 lists 23 factors for state- wide planning; §450.316 lists 16 metropolitan factors	Sections 1203 and 1204 modify 23 U.S.C. 134 and 135, respectively, by establishing 7 new planning factors, replacing the existing 23 (statewide) and 16 (metropolitan) planning factors mandated by ISTEA.	Streamline and simplify planning factors Rely on legislative language to guide planning process Use non-regulatory approaches to promote good practices Support local application of planning factors to fit local circumstances State and local consideration of a full range of planning factors, as applicable, in the local context	§1410.208 (statewide) and §1410.316 (metropolitan) list the new factors: Economic vitality; safety and security; accessibility and mobility; environment and energy; intermodalism; efficiency; and preservation of the existing transportation system
Safety	No provisions	Sections 1203 and 1204 name safety as one of seven planning factors to be addressed in developing transportation plans.	Safer transportation systems Emphasis on safety in transportation plans, programs and projects Reliance on localized application with FHWA/FTA providing training, good practices and other specialized activity Increase safety issue awareness and visibility for local decision-makers Greater safety issue awareness/consideration in transportation decisions	§§1410.208 and 1410.316 list safety as one of the seven new planning factors.
20-Yr. Plan Horizon	Plan must have at least a 20-year horizon at time of adoption by MPO or State [§450.322]	TEA-21 continues existing ISTEA legislative requirement for 20-year forecast period.	Meet statutory requirement for 20-year plans Maintain completeness and utility of plans for decision-making Eliminate problems with conformity findings	§1410.322(e) refines existing §450.322 with respect to definition of "20-year horizon"; no major changes.
TIP	FHWA and FTA may grant extensions in extraordinary circumstances [§450.324]	No new legislative provisions.	Reflect the requirements and limitations of the law reasonably Provide effective mechanisms for administering Federal transportation programs	§1410.324 revises TIP extension policy — no extensions permitted in non-attainment or maintenance areas; extensions up to 180 days permitted in attainment areas.
ITS Tech. Investments†	No provisions  † Linkage to ITS rule	Section 5206(e) directs that all ITS projects funded with highway trust monies be consistent with national ITS architecture, standards, and protocols	ITS investments that work together (interoperable) ITS technology investments routinely included in transportation plans, programs and projects Mainstreaming of ITS investments as part of alternatives considered for transportation system improvements Enhanced interagency cooperation in management and development	§1410.214(a)(3) and §1410.322(b)(11-13) support integrated ITS development — an ITS Integration Strategy. §1410.310 stipulates terms of agreement between MPOs, State DOTs, operators and other agencies on implementation of Integration Strategy.

## NPRM on Statewide and Metropolitan Planning — Page 2

Issue	Current Provisions	New TEA-21 Requirements	Intended Outcomes	<b>Proposed Regulations (Excerpts)</b>
Annual List of Projects	No provisions	Section 1203 modifies 23 U.S.C. 134(h)(7)(B); requires MPO to provide an annual listing of projects for which funds have been obligated in the previous year.	Encourage greater public information concerning proposed funding actions	§1410.324(n)(5) requires an annual listing of projects.
FHWA/FTA on STIP	Existing regulations require finding that planning process is being implemented consistent with the planning regulations [§450.220]	Section 1204 requires a finding by the Secretary that the STIP comes from a planning process that meets statutory requirements	Improve transportation planning process by identifying areas for focused improvement  Provide a recurring basis for positive reinforcement of effective planning processes and earlier identification of weaknesses	§1410.322(b) prescribes that STIP approval will either be conditional or unconditional, depending on the results of the planning finding.
Environmental Justice†	<ul> <li>MPO and State must self-certify consistency of plans and programs with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act</li> <li>Each MPO and State must have a public involvement process in order to "seek out and consider the needs of those traditionally under-served by existing transportation systems, including but not limited to low-income and minority households"</li> <li>No specific FHWA/FTA requirements articulated for supporting analyses</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Clarifies and extends Title         VI expectations regarding         required data collection,         analyses, and public in-         volvement processes for         transportation plans, TIPs         and projects</li> <li>Puts greater emphasis on         the participation of low-         income persons, the elderly,         persons with disabilities,         and minority communities         in planning, programming,         and project development</li> </ul>	ment processes  Earlier identification and analysis of community impacts of transportation investments  Effective inclusion and engagement of minority and low-income groups in transportation decisionmaking	<ul> <li>§1420.111 Environmental justice.         "Proposed actions shall be developed in a manner to avoid or mitigate disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects on low-income and minority populations"</li> <li>§1420.113 Avoidance, minimization, mitigation, and enhancement responsibilities.</li> <li>§1420.212 Participation by interested parties.</li> <li>§1420.316 Transportation planning process and plan development.</li> </ul>
Planning/Project Development†	<ul> <li>Participating agencies (State DOT, MPO, transit operator) cooperatively decide on need for and prepare MIS investments [§450.318]</li> <li>Planning analyses usable in NEPA process but often ignored</li> </ul>	Section 1308 directs elimination of separate MIS requirement and calls for integrating it with analyses in the planning and environmental (NEPA) rules.	and economic impacts at early, systems level  Consideration of environmental impacts at plan stage enables streamlined decisionmaking in project development  Documentation of planning actions, at participants' discretion, to support more NEPA streamlining	ess. [Revision of §450.218.]
Air Quality Conformity	Several provisions in the planning and NEPA rules reinforce confor- mity and tie to EPA's conformity regulations	No new TEA-21 provisions; conformity is a requirement of the Clean Air Act.	Establish common definitions of key terms	§1410.210 Coordination of planning process activities; §1410.312 Planning process organizational relationships; §1410.314 Planning tasks and unified work program; §1410.322 Transportation plan content; §1410.324 Transportation improvement program content.
	† Linkage to NEPA rule			